

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/478,822	01/06/2000	John Van Hamont	Army-104	2214
7:	590 10/27/2005		EXAM	INER
Elizabeth Arwine			WANG, SHENGJUN	
us army medical resherch and material command 504 scott street		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
fort derick, MD 21702			1617	
			DATE MAILED: 10/27/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary			HAMONT ET AL.					
		09/478,822						
		Examiner	Art Unit					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication and	Shengjun Wang	1617					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence adan	9SS				
WHI(- Exte after - If NO - Failt Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Dominisions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.15 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from 1, cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. ely filed the mailing date of this comm O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 A	ugust 2005						
		action is non-final.						
3)□	· ,—		coartion as to the m	orito in				
ٽر ^ن	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
		x parte Quayle, 1955 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>11-27</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	ion Papers							
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	•						
			yaminer					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti			1 121/4)				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex							
	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
_	•							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	phority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(a) or (t).					
a)ı		have been seed and	•					
			a Na					
		•						
	 Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau 		o in this National Sta	age				
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list		4					
	see the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not receive	u.					
				•				
Attachmen	• •							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ∭ Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
3) 🔲 Inforr	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Pa		52)				
	···	,						

DETAILED ACTION

Receipt of applicants' remarks submitted August 29, 2005 is acknowledged.

Rejections under 35 U.S.C. 251, New Matter

Claims 11-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 251 as being based upon new matter added to the patent for which reissue is sought. The added material which is not supported by the prior patent is as follows:

"Anhydrous process," "biodegradable polymer," "first organic solvent," "second non-polar organic solvent suitable to extract residual first organic solvent," "biologically active material-stabilizer matrix." The application as original filed fails to provide sufficient written description to support those concepts recited in the claims. Applicants provide no explanation on the record as to the support of those terms. The examiner fails to find sufficient support in the application as originally filed. The specification discloses some particular species within the claimed genus are useful in the claimed method, e.g., PLGA as polymer, acetonitrile as solvent, hexane as non-polar solvent, bioactive material-sucrose matrix. However, there is not written description to generalize the particular species into the genus herein claimed.

Claim Rejections 35 U.S.C. 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 11-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not

Art Unit: 1617

described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The claims recited "anhydrous process," "biodegradable polymer," "first organic solvent," "second non-polar organic solvent suitable to extract residual first organic solvent," "biologically active material-stabilizer matrix." The application as original filed fails to provide sufficient written description to support those concepts recited in the claims. Applicants provide no explanation on the record as to the support of those terms. The examiner fails to find sufficient support in the application as originally filed. The specification discloses some particular species within the claimed genus are useful in the claimed method, e.g., PLGA as polymer, acetonitrile as solvent, hexane as non-polar solvent, bioactive material-sucrose matrix. However, there is not written description to generalize the particular species into the genus herein claimed. The application as originally filed provides no guidance, direction or working examples as to the usefulness of other species in the claimed method.

Response to the Arguments

Applicants' remarks submitted August 29, 2005 have been fully considered, but are found unpersuasive.

Applicants argue that based on the disclosed species in the originally filed application, one of ordinary skill in the art would readily understand the genus that encompasses the disclosed species. The arguments are not persuasive. In the application as originally filed, only particular species are described. The application fails to provide a proper written description for the generic scopes as claimed in the added claims. While the application provides written description of particular species for "anhydrous process," "biodegradable polymer," "first

Application/Control Number: 09/478,822

solvent," it fails to provide support for the broad scope.

Art Unit: 1617

organic solvent," "second non-polar organic solvent suitable to extract residual first organic

Page 4

The examiner apologizes the delay in this prosecution, but will not make comments on prior examiner's opinion. Further, due to a better understanding of 35 U.S.C. 112, as well as new matter issue, the office has recently applied more stringent standard.

2. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shengjun Wang whose telephone number is (571) 272-0632. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 7:00 am to 3:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreeni Padmanabhan, can be reached on (571) 272-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

.

Application/Control Number: 09/478,822 Page 5

Art Unit: 1617

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SHENGJUNTVANG PRIMARY EXAMINER Shengjun Wang Primary Examiner Art Unit 1617